

#100

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 4 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, August 30, 2021 9:14:38 AM
Last Modified: Monday, August 30, 2021 9:21:40 AM
Time Spent: 00:07:01
IP Address: 109.158.118.120

Page 1: Personal Details

Q1

Please Confirm your Full Name. Note: Submissions should be made by an individual person . Other household members should complete their own response.

Name **Allan Mortimer**

Q2

Please confirm your Postcode.

TS15 0HY

Q3

Please tell us your reason(s) for participating in this survey. Select all that are applicable

Live in Rudby Parish,
Own Property in Rudby Parish,
Work in Rudby Parish

Page 2

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

If you chose 'none of the above' please tell us why you are responding to this survey.

Page 3: Vision & Objectives

Q5

Support

Do you support or oppose the vision statement?

Page 4

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose the Vision Statement, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 5

Q7

Support

Do you support or oppose the Neighbourhood Plan objectives?

Page 6

Q8

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose the Neighbourhood Plan objectives, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 7: Rudby Neighbourhood Plan Policies (RNP)

Q9

Support

RNP1 - Sustainable Development This policy positions the Neighbourhood Plan policies within the wider planning framework. If the Neighbourhood Plan is adopted, it will become part of the statutory development plan for the Parish, together with Hambleton's adopted Local Plan and national policies Do you Support or Oppose This Policy?

Page 8

Q10

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 9

Q11

Support

RNP2 - Design Principles This policy sets out design requirements for development in the Parish which focus on local character, climate change, and 'lifetime homes'. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 10

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 11

Q13

Support

RNP3 - Housing Provision This policy proposes an allocation of land south of Paddocks End for the development of approximately 25 homes to meet Parish housing need. It sets out the location, scale, and key features for the development. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 12

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 13

Q15

Support

RNP4 - Windfall Housing This policy sets out requirements for small scale developments whether as infill, on the edge of villages or in the open countryside. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 14

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 15

Q17

Support

RNP5 - Affordable Housing This policy sets out a requirement for local people to be given priority access to new affordable housing developed in the parish. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 16

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 17

Q19

Support

RNP6 - Redevelopment of Redundant Buildings in the Countryside
This policy provides support for the conversion of redundant buildings to uses which will enhance the rural economy.
Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 18

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 19

Q21

Support

RNP7 -Safeguarding and Improvement of Community Facilities
This policy aims to secure the long-term future of facilities which are valued by the community.
Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 20

Q22

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 21

Q23

Support

RNP8 - Communication Technology
This policy aims to ensure that new developments are suitably equipped to support domestic needs, home working, and the rural economy.
Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 22

Q24

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose the Neighbourhood Plan objectives, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 23

Q25

Support

RNP9 - The Transport Network and Parking Provision This policy seeks to minimise any adverse impacts from parking arising from new development (particularly in the Conservation Area), and to facilitate the transition to electric vehicles. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 24

Q26

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose the Neighbourhood Plan objectives, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 25

Q27

Support

RNP10 - Natural Environment and Landscape This policy provides protection for areas and natural assets which are important to the setting and character of the Parish. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 26

Q28

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose the Neighbourhood Plan objectives, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 27

Q29

Support

RNP11 - Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure This policy sets out requirements for developments to protect and enhance biodiversity. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 28

Q30

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 29

Q31

Support

RNP12 - The Leven Valley This policy sets out requirements aimed at protecting the special character of the Leven Valley. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 30

Q32

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 31

Q33

Support

RNP13 - Local Green Space This policy provides protection for green spaces identified as being special to the community. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 32

Q34

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 33

Q35

Support

RNP14 - Significant Views The policy seeks to safeguard views identified as being special to the community from being harmed by development. Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 34

Q36

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 35

Q37

Support

RNP15 - Protection and Enhancement of the Conservation Area
This policy sets out requirements for development in the Conservation Area aimed at protecting and enhancing its character and appearance.
Do you Support or Oppose this Policy?

Page 36

Q38

Respondent skipped this question

If you Oppose this policy, please tell us why. You can also use this comment box to make observations.

Page 37: Other Comments

Q39

Do you have any comments or observations on the Neighbourhood Plan that you would like to make?

NPPF Updates July 2021

The NPPF was updated in July 2021. Paragraph references in the draft Neighbourhood Plan need to be updated and it must be reviewed for conformity with the new version which has increased emphasis on climate change and environment. The NP should embrace any opportunities the changes give to strengthen the climate change and environmental elements of the plan.

Local Plan Schedule of Main Modifications

The Local Plan process has moved forward since the Neighbourhood Plan Consultation Draft was published. The schedule of main modifications which will be put out to consultation will be tabled at Hambleton's cabinet meeting on 7 September 2021. Subject to cabinet approval the consultation is expected to begin in late September.

The draft Neighbourhood Plan should be reviewed for conformity and policy overlap with the modified version of the Local Plan and any appropriate modifications made. The examination process and the resulting schedule of modifications have clarified the following issues which are of particular interest to the Neighbourhood Plan:

- The list of strategic policies with which Neighbourhood Plans must conform (set out in Local Plan policy S4) has been extended.
- Spatial strategy retains the development focus on the market towns and the transport corridors, with only limited development expected in villages to meet local rather than district need. Neighbourhood Plan areas are expected to address local needs only.
- Local Green Spaces proposed in the Local Plan which are considered 'sound' by the inspectors include sites where landowners objected to the designation, and sites including agricultural land (which has significant permitted development rights). The inferences being that landowner objections do not act as a veto, and a Local Green Space does not a priori fail the 'capable of enduring test' because of permitted development rights on agricultural land.

Ingleby Arncliffe Neighbourhood Plan

The Ingleby Arncliffe Neighbour is the most advanced in process within Hambleton and is the only Neighbourhood Plan at a more advance stage that the Rudby Parish Neighbourhood Plan, therefore, it is the only source for precedents on how issues related to conformity with the Local Plan have been interpreted. Examination has been completed and the published examiners report is being tabled for approval at Hambleton's cabinet meeting on 7 Sept 2021, and subject to HDC cabinet approval the Ingleby Arncliffe Neighbourhood Plan will proceed to referendum. Significant issues of relevance to the Rudby Parish Neighbourhood Plan discussed in the examiner's report include parking provision and settlement boundaries.

The examiner's report on Ingleby Arncliffe should be carefully reviewed and where appropriate used to inform the review of policy scope and wording arising from the Regulation 14 consultation responses when preparing the submission version of the Rudby Neighbourhood Plan.

Objectives

Consider the advice of the Ingleby Arncliffe examiner on language 'style'

RNP2

Is the location of the areas to be protected under part 1c sufficiently clear for applicants and decision makers to know what is expected? Is additional mapping required?

Ideally an explicit performance target such as exceeding building regs by say 10% would be set in part 2a) if it can be justified. This would set clear expectations for applicants and decision makers. Examples (such as home offices, communication infrastructure, co-working spaces) may be helpful to illustrate what is expected under part 2e) either within the policy or in the policy justification.

RNP3

Broadacres (a not-for-profit enterprise which is the main provider of social housing in North Yorkshire) is interested in becoming developer of the site. Developers like Broadacres may be willing and able to offer a better housing mix than a “commercial” developer. However, until there is an agreement between the landowner and Broadacres in place, the site may be brought forward by a developer with a different business model, and this has implications for viability.

The selection of developer is a commercial matter for the landowner and is not under the control of the Neighbourhood Plan. The allocation cannot assume a particular developer, and requirements such as mix that are set out in the policy must be reasonably achievable and viable regardless of who is the developer.

The policy should be amended to replace vague wording like ‘high proportion’ with explicit targets.

RNP4

The implications of the Ingleby Arncliffe examiner’s comments on settlement boundaries are that they are acceptable in principle i.e. they do not create a non-conformity with the Local Plan or the NPPF. This should be noted in the policy justification.

The policy wording should be carefully reviewed to ensure it is unlikely there will be unintended consequences, particularly for part 3. The intention of part 3 is to provide an additional test to demonstrate that use of a location in the open countryside is justified and that the design includes compensating measures to offset the use of a less sustainable location than sites in a settlement. It is not intended to deliver significantly more development than expected from the Local Plan policies.

RNP7

Is the policy wording overly restrictive? Would it prevent enhancement of existing facilities e.g. projects like the village hall refurbishment, upgrading of clubhouses, installation of all weather surfaces at sports facilities. The policy should be amended if necessary to provide for improvement of facilities.

RNP9

The Ingleby Arncliffe examiner’s comments on parking should be reviewed and if appropriate the parking policy amended accordingly.

RNP10

Reference should be made in the justification text to the source of the classification of land at Linden Grange and Skutterskelfe Hall as ‘recognised parkland’

RNP11

The policy wording should be reviewed in the light of the updates to the NPPF and the issue of the schedule of main modifications for the Local Plan.

RNP12

The term ‘Leven Valley’ does not have a clearly defined footprint. It should be set out on a policy map so that applicants and decision makers are clear whether the policy is relevant to a particular application.

RNP13

The precedent set by the Local Plan hearings and schedule of main modifications should be noted and used to inform decisions on which sites to include in the submission version. In particular, it should be noted that sites on agricultural land and where the landowner objected were considered sound by the inspectors, so it is reasonable for the Neighbourhood Plan to propose such sites providing that they otherwise satisfy the NPPF criteria.

Rudby Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

preparing that they determine safety and other criteria

Boundaries of all LGS proposals should be reviewed and finalised after considering all information relating to Local Green Spaces which is submitted in the Reg 14 consultation.

RNP14

The policy wording does not explicitly link to the table of views. Some of the explanatory text on how to interpret the table in the Appendix should be moved into the policy. Creation of a supporting document with photographs of each view to illustrate the 'protected characteristic' should be considered as this may be helpful to applicants and decision makers to clarify the policy intentions at each location.

RNP15

Should this policy be extended to explicitly recognise the NDHAs listed in the Appendix as being locally significant and subject to the relevant protections in the Local Plan and NPPF?
